

# United States Patent and Trademark Office





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/787,998	03/23/2001	Hirofumi Taketsu	2204-002012	1204	
7.	590 08/12/2002				
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		EXAM	EXAMINER	
09/787,998 03/23/2001	BLOUN		STEVEN		
Pittsburgh, PA	7,998 03/23/2001 7590 08/12/2002 Sell D Orkin Koppers Building Seventh Avenue		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3726	STEVEN	
			DATE MAILED: 08/12/2002	!	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

eriod for Reply SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO F THIS COMMUNICATION.	Application No.  69/787,998  Taketsu et al  Examiner  Group Art Unit  3726  on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address  EXPIRE 3  MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING	<b>3</b>
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared of the seriod for Reply SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO F THIS COMMUNICATION.	Examilier  Group Art Unit  3726  on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address.	3
eriod for Reply SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO F THIS COMMUNICATION.		3—
eriod for Reply SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO F THIS COMMUNICATION.		<b>5</b>
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO F THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING	
F THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING	
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CED 1		DATE
from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply the following the period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutions.	pire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.	•
tatus		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/5/	2	
☐ This action is FINAL.		
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1939		
isposition of Claims		
<b>☆</b> Claim(s) 1-10	is/are pending in the applicatio	ń.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consider	ation.
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.	
≥ Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-10	is/are rejected.	
□ Claim(s)		
□ Claim(s)	•	ction
pplication Papers	requirement.	
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Raviow PTO-048	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	·	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)		
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un  All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of to  received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number	priority documents have been	
received in this national stage application from the Inte		
*Certified copies not received:	•	
ttachment(s)	. 1	
☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N	s) Interview Summary, PTO-413	
对 Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, F	TO-15

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No. \_\_\_\_\_\_

Art Unit: 3726

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-7 and 9-10 in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the search for the two inventions is coextensive, and that the method of making the fuel tank requires the use of the aluminum coated steel sheet. This is not found persuasive because the searches are in fact widely divergent (class 29, method of manufacturing as to the method, and class 427, coating, as to the product). Further, as stated in the restriction requirement, the invention of the product (group I in the restriction requirement) does not require a great number of features that the method of making the fuel tank does, as was noted in the restriction requirement.

The requirement is still deemed proper with regard to claim 8, and is therefore made FINAL. However, with regard to claims 9 and 10, the examiner acknowledges and agrees with applicants statement that these claims, because of their dependency, should properly have been placed in group I. Therefore, these claims are no longer subject to restriction and are examined below.

### Claim Objections

2. Claims 4 and 6 are objected to because of the following informalities: "1-50% hydrogen atom of said carboxyl group" is an akward expression in claim 4, and in claim 6, the word 1-25mass% is a typographical error. Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 3726

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over U.S. patent 4,748,194 to Geeck in view of Japanese patent 410265967 to Isaki and U.S. patent 5,234,974 to Calhoun et al.

With regard to claims 1 and 5, Geeck teaches applying a resin (including urethane, see col 2, line 53, as well as various types of epoxy, see col 4, lines 1+) to a gas tank of aluminum or steel (col 2, lines 30+) but does not *explicitly* require the gas tank to be aluminum coated steel, or that the resin be alkali soluble.

Isaki teaches a gas tank made of aluminum coated steel. Calhoun et al teach making an alkali soluble coating by using various acrylates in combination with an alkali soluble multivalent metal ion-containing bond forming agent. See col 2, lines 57+ and col 4, lines 63+.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided the steel fuel tank of Geeck with a coat of aluminum, in light of the teachings of Isaki, and to have also applied a thin film which is solvent in an alkaline solution to the aluminum surface, in light of the teachings of Calhoun et al, in order to provide a coating material that can

Art Unit: 3726

be dissolved in an alkaline solution following a forming operation, wherein the coating keeps the underlying material from being damaged.

With regard to claim 2, see col 6, lines 50+.

With regard to claim 3, the carboxyl groups described in col 4, lines 63+ of Calhoun would typically have acid values of 40-90 in order to be soluble in the pH range discussed in column 6.

With regard to claim 4, the alkali metal substitutions discussed in column 6 would typically have 1-50% of the hydrogen atom of the carboxyl group substituted by an alkali metal in order to be soluble in the pH range discussed.

With regard to claim 9, see col 4 lines 60+ of Calhoun et al.

With regard to claim 6, see col 3 and col 4 lines 65+ and note that silica is typically found in a powdery form.

5. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over U.S. patent 4,748,194 to Geeck in view of Japanese patent 410265967 to Isaki and U.S. patent 5,234,974 to Calhoun et al as applied to claim 1, and further in view of U.S. patent 4,954,372 to Sako et al.

Geeck/Isaki/Calhoun et al teach the invention as described with respect to claim 1, but do not teach the coating layer to be .2 - 5 microns. A similar coating is taught in Sako et al that has these dimensions. See col 8 line 60. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have applied a coating with the dimensions noted above to

Art Unit: 3726

Geeck/Isaki/Calhoun et al, in light of Sako et al, in order to have a coating of proper thickness so that it can be easily removed.

## **Contact Information**

- 6. Official documents related to the instant application may be submitted to the Technology Center 3700 mail center by facsimile at (703) 872-9302 (responses before final) and 703-872-9303 (responses after final). Should Applicant desire to submit a DRAFT response to the Examiner by facsimile transmission, then Applicant should contact the Examiner at the number below for instructions concerning the transmission of DRAFT documents. Applicant is reminded to clearly mark any facsimile transmission as "DRAFT" if it is not to be considered as an official response. Examiner Blount may be contacted at the Patent Office between the hours of 9:00 am to 5:30 P.M. Monday through Friday.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Steven Blount at telephone number (703) 305-0319.

SB 8/7/02

> DAVID P. BRYANT RIMARY EXAMINER